





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,510	11/02/2001	John J. McKillip	72412	6502
22242 7:	590 07/25/2002			
	TABIN AND FLAT	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600			EICKHOLT, EUGENE H	
CHICAGO, IL	0, IL 60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		V
٠	Ď.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
*		10/004,510	MCKILLIP, JOHN J.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Eugene H Eickholt	2854
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	November 2001	
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	·	his action is non-final.	
2a)	Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 9-14 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are rejected.		
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers	·	
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in Applicat	tion No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has been re	ceived.
Attachment		priority aridor of 0.0.0. 38 12	v and/vi (41,
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) (PTO-1449)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/004,510

Art Unit: 2854

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: at pages 5-6, lines 5 and 24, "continuous" is misspelled.

Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 3, "application" needs to be changed to "applicator" for proper antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chess et al (5656369).

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The adhesive patch station is a sub-component of the printing press which includes a roll receiver station 10, as well as print, patch and die stations. Claim as drafted is inaccurate in

Application/Control Number: 10/004,510

Art Unit: 2854

reciting the receiver station is part of the patch station. See the specification description at page 4, lines 16-30 and page 5, lines 12-25.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:


(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are, insofar as understandable, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chess et al in view of Weidner et al (5861457).

Chess et al identifies his web 11 as bond paper, but does at column 3, lines 29-30, state it be of other sheet material having desired characteristics, Weidner at column 11 identifies the backing paper for adhesive labels to be treated with silicone to enhance repellency (ease of peeling) to tacky substances as "glassive papers". See column 11, line 61. At the time of applicants invention have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the printing art, a complex art, to have substituted a glassive silicone treated paper of taught by Weidner et al for the bond paper web 11 of Chess et al. Motivation for such a substitution is clearly set forth in Weidner et al as rendering backing surfaces for adhesive layers repellant to tacky surfaces. See column 11, line 36 of Weidner et al.

A shortened statutory period of 3 months is set to respond.





Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning the specifics of this communication should be directed to Examiner Eickholt, who can be reached Tuesday through Thursday. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the TC2800 receptionist.

Contact numbers:

Exr. Eugene Eickholt SPE Andrew Hirshfeld TC 2800 Receptionist TC 2800 Fax

703-308-0125 703-305-6619 703-308-0956 703-308-7722 703-305-3432 703-308-7724

> EUGANEH. EICKHOLT PRIMARY EXAMINER